

ANNUAL REPORT TO NC-140

2015 Organic Apple Rootstock Trial

November, 2019 -- Geneva, NY

Wesley R. Autio



This year is the fifth season of the 2015 NC-140 Organic Apple Rootstock Trials.

I hope that tree management was reasonably easy. Data collection should occur per the protocol distributed last November. For submission of those data, **everyone is encouraged to review their data and make sure that all measurements are the unit requested. Further, include only those data requested in the protocol, with the same columns in the spreadsheet, and in the same order.** All data should be submitted in the format and units requested and by the submission deadline.

The data to be submitted for 2019 and the format of the data are presented in the Data Submission Protocol on Page 2. Submit these data in an Excel spreadsheet, using the rootstock codes described in

the protocol, by **January 15, 2020.** Please note that a 5-year report will be written based on all data through 2019.

In 2020, follow the Pruning and Training Plan (Page 2) and the Trial Protocol for 2020 (Page 2).

Rootstocks, cultivars, and locations involved in the 2015 NC-140 Organic Apple Rootstock Trial. Modi trees are spaced 1x3.5m, and all trees are trained to the Tall Spindle System. Each site includes 12 replications in a randomized, complete-block design, with a single tree of each rootstock treatment per replication. Liberty/G.935 is included as a pollinizer.

Rootstocks	Sites
G.11	CA
G.16	CO
G.41	ID
G.202	MA
G.214	MI*
G.222	NM
G.890	NS
G.935	NY – Ithaca
G.969	NY – Geneva
M.9 NAKBT337	VT
	WI

* Data for 2018 not submitted.

To avoid problems during the compilation of the data, please pay particular attention to the following points:

1. **Submit only the data requested.**
2. **Use the correct units.**
3. **Columns must be consistent with the protocol.**
3. **Make sure that all data make sense -- proofread your data set.**
4. **For rootstock and replication designations, follow the protocol exactly -- rootstock names should appear as they are listed in the Data Submission Protocol (Page 2) -- please note that there are no spaces in any of these names.**

Explanation of Tree Loss

Tree loss has been relatively low. CA has lost approximately 10% of the trees in the trial, including one tree on G.11, two on G.214, three on G.30, one on G.890, two on G.969, and two on M.9 NAKBT337. All were lost due to excessive weed competition, with the exception of one tree on G.969 that suffered wind damage.

In VT, about 6% of the trees have died. These include one tree on G.202, one on G.214, one on G.30

Send 2018 data via email to Wes Autio (autio@umass.edu) by

January 15, 2020

and one on G.890 that were severely damaged by voles. Additionally, two trees on G.30 were killed by winter damage, and one on G.30 was lost to severe dogwood borer infestation.

MA lost about 5% of the trees (two on G.11 and one each on G.214, G.41, G.969, and M.9 NAKBT337) to undertermined causes.

Three percent or less died at other locations. Reasons for this loss included fireblight (one G.11 in NYG, one M.9 NAKBT337 in NM, and two M.9 NAKBT337 in NS. Voles killed one tree on G.890 and two on G.935 in CO. Graft union failure took one G.214 in ID, and unknown causes took one G.935 and one G.41 in ID and one G.969 in NYI.

Trial Protocol for 2020

Tree management.

- Trees must be supported and trained as Tall Spindles (see Pruning & Training Plan for the Tall Spindle System).
- Thin fruit as described in Pruning and Training Plan for the Tall Spindle System.
- Manage pests, nutrients, and water per local organic recommendations.

Collect the follow data for each tree in 2020.

- Root suckers: the number removed and counted, August.
- Yield: count all fruit per tree and weigh (to the nearest 0.1 kg).
- Trunk size: trunk circumference 30 cm above the graft union (mm), October.
- Status: 0=dead, 1=alive, and 2=missing data, October.

Pruning and Training Plan for the Tall Spindle System

Dormant	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Limit tree height to 11.5' (3.6m) by annually cutting leader back to a weak fruitful side branch. Annually, remove at least 2 limbs, including lower tier scaffolds, that are more than 3/4" in diameter using a bevel cut. Simplify each remaining branch on the tree so that it is columnar with no major side branches. Shorten branches that extend into the row to facilitate movement of equipment and preserve fruit quality on the lower limbs.
Late May	Chemically thin with 2 applications of lime sulfur and fish oil during bloom (30% and 60%), and then follow up with hand thinning to appropriate levels to ensure regular annual cropping and adequate fruit size (target = 120 fruit per tree).
August	Lightly summer prune to encourage light penetration and maintain pyramidal tree shape.

Data Submission Protocol

Submit data via email (autio@umass.edu) by **January 15, 2020.**

Location	ROOT	REP	2015 STATUS (see below)	Trunk circ. at planting (mm)	Side branches (>10cm after pruning) (mm)	Height of the union above the soil at planting (mm)	2016 STATUS (0=dead, 1=alive, 2=missing data)	2016 Root circ. (mm)	2016 Trunk circ. (mm)	2016 Yield (kg/tree)	2016 Comments (trees which died during 2016 (those with status = 0))	2017 STATUS (0=dead, 1=alive, 2=missing data)	2017 Root sucker (no./tree)	2017 Trunk circ. (mm)	2017 Yield (kg/tree)	2017 Comments (trees which died during 2017 (those with status = 0))	2018 STATUS (0=dead, 1=alive, 2=missing data)	2018 Root sucker circ. (no./tree)	2018 Trunk circ. (mm)	2018 Yield (kg/tree)	2018 Comments (trees which died during 2018 (those with status = 0))	2019 STATUS (0=dead, 1=alive, 2=missing data)	2019 Root sucker circ. (no./tree)	2019 Trunk circ. (mm)	2019 Height (mm)	2019 Canopy width (mm)	2019 Yield (kg/tree)	2019 Comments (trees which died during 2019 (those with status = 0))
IA	G.11	1	1	X	X	X	1	X	X	X		1	X	X	X		1	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	X	
IA	G.11	2	0	X	X	X	0	X	X	X	fireblight	0	X	X	X		0	X	X	X	X	0	X	X	X	X	X	
IA	G.11	3	1	X	X	X	1	X	X	X		1	X	X	X		1	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	X	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IA	M.91337	10	1	X	X	X	0	-	-	-	fireblight	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
IA	M.91337	11	3	X	X	X	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IA	M.91337	12	4	X	X	X	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Special requirements for the 2015 status assessment:
 0 = died after it was clearly growing well
 1 = alive
 2 = considered to be a non-data tree because of human error
 3 = planted but broke at the union before it was fully supported
 4 = leafed out but quickly shut down
 5 = never leafed and began to grow

Appropriate Rootstock Codes (do not include spaces in the rootstock name):
 G.11 G.41 G.222 G.969
 G.16 G.202 G.800 M.91337
 G.30 G.214 G.935

When a data point is missing, insert a period in that cell.
 Do not replace zeros with periods.
 Required data format: Excel

Table 1. Tree and fruiting characteristics (2018) of Modi trees in the 2015 NC-140 Organic Apple Rootstock Trial. All data are least-squares means adjusted for missing subclasses.

Location and rootstock	Survival (% 2015-18)	Trunk cross-sectional area (cm ² , 2018)	Root suckers (no./tree, 2015-18)	Yield per tree (kg, 2018)	Cumulative yield per tree (kg, 2016-18)	Yield efficiency (kg/cm ² TCA, 2018)	Cumulative yield efficiency		Average fruit weight (g, 2016-18)
							(kg/cm ² TCA, 2016-18)	Fruit weight (g, 2018)	
G.11	97 a	5.7 e	0.7 d	4.1 cd	5.3 bc	0.62 ab	0.80 bc	125 ab	134 a
G.30	94 a	7.1 cd	1.2 cd	4.4 c	5.8 b	0.57 ab	0.77 c	131 a	135 a
G.41	98 a	7.4 c	0.5 d	5.3 b	7.0 a	0.59 ab	0.80 bc	128 ab	135 a
G.202	99 a	8.1 b	2.0 ab	3.8 cd	4.9 c	0.41 d	0.53 e	116 b	124 a
G.214	96 a	4.9 f	0.6 d	3.7 cd	4.6 c	0.67 a	0.84 abc	124 ab	132 a
G.222	100 a	3.8 g	2.6 a	2.3 e	2.5 d	0.43 cd	0.51 e	127 ab	136 a
G.890	97 a	11.1 a	2.1 ab	6.8 a	7.9 a	0.52 bc	0.62 de	127 ab	134 a
G.935	97 a	6.7 d	1.6 bc	5.3 b	7.2 a	0.67 a	0.94 a	124 ab	127 a
G.969	97 a	5.4 ef	0.7 d	3.8 cd	5.4 bc	0.63 ab	0.91 ab	121 ab	132 a
M.9 NAKBT337	94 a	5.5 ef	0.5 d	3.4 d	4.5 c	0.53 bc	0.73 cd	129 ab	134 a
CA	90 b	3.1 d	1.7 b	0.8 d	1.1 e	0.22 d	0.31 d	63 e	84 e
CO	97 ab	5.2 c	0.7 c	1.0 d	1.1 e	0.19 d	0.22 d	144 b	143 b
ID	97 ab	8.1 b	3.7 a	0.4 d	2.4 de	0.05 ef	0.29 d	143 b	166 a
MA	95 ab	5.7 c	0.7 c	0.0 d	1.1 e	0.00 f	0.21 d	---	128 d
NM	98 ab	7.5 b	2.1 b	6.3 b	6.7 b	0.83 b	0.88 bc	79 d	79 e
NS	98 ab	5.2 c	0.7 c	3.2 c	3.6 cd	0.61 c	0.70 c	140 b	142 bc
NYG	99 a	7.2 b	2.0 b	5.6 b	6.3 b	0.82 b	0.94 b	132 b	134 bcd
NYI	99 a	5.9 c	0.5 c	5.2 b	6.0 b	0.92 b	1.05 b	178 a	172 a
VT	94 ab	5.5 c	0.3 c	2.7 c	5.7 bc	0.50 c	1.06 b	108 c	130 cd
WI	100 a	12.3 a	0.1 c	17.7 a	21.1 a	1.49 a	1.79 a	138 b	144 b

Mean separation within columns for location or rootstock by Tukey's HSD ($P = 0.05$).

Table 2. Survival (% 2015-18) of Modi trees in the 2015 NC-140 Organic Apple Rootstock Trial.

Rootstock	CA	CO	ID	MA	NM	NS	NYG	NYI	VT	WI
G.11	92 a	100 a	100 a	83 a	100 a	100 a	92 a	100 a	100 a	100 a
G.16	---	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	---	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a
G.30	75 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	64 b	100 a
G.41	100 a	100 a	92 a	92 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a
G.202	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	92 a	100 a
G.214	83 a	100 a	92 a	92 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	92 a	100 a
G.222	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a
G.890	92 a	92 a	100 a	100 a	92 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	92 a	100 a
G.935	100 a	83 a	92 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a
G.969	83 a	100 a	100 a	92 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	92 a	100 a	100 a
M.9 NAKBT337	83 a	100 a	100 a	89 a	89 a	78 b	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a

Mean separation within columns by Tukey's HSD ($P = 0.05$).

Table 3. Trunk cross-sectional area (cm², 2018) of Modi trees in the 2015 NC-140 Organic Apple Rootstock Trial.

Rootstock	CA	CO	ID	MA	NM	NS	NYG	NYI	VT	WI
G.11	2.7 bcd	5.6 bc	7.6 de	5.0 cdef	5.9 de	4.7 cde	6.1 cde	5.0 bcd	4.6 cde	9.9 de
G.16	---	1.1 e	4.1 f	2.2 g	4.0 e	---	2.9 f	3.5 d	2.6 e	8.7 de
G.30	3.2 abc	5.4 bc	10.3 ab	6.1 bcd	8.7 b	5.3 cd	9.3 b	5.7 bcd	5.6 bcd	11.3 cd
G.41	4.2 a	5.1 bcd	8.9 bcd	6.4 bc	8.1 bc	5.8 bc	7.5 bc	7.2 b	6.6 b	14.3 bc
G.202	4.2 a	6.6 ab	9.9 abc	7.3 b	8.4 b	6.9 b	9.2 b	6.9 bc	6.6 b	15.3 b
G.214	2.5 cd	2.9 de	6.4 ef	5.2 cde	5.1 de	3.9 e	5.2 def	4.5 cd	4.6 cde	8.6 e
G.222	1.6 d	1.9 de	3.6 f	3.0 fg	4.3 e	3.5 e	3.7 ef	3.5 d	2.9 e	9.8 de
G.890	3.8 ab	8.9 a	11.3 a	10.8 a	12.9 a	8.3 a	13.1 a	11.1 a	9.7 a	21.3 a
G.935	3.4 abc	5.7 bc	7.9 cde	4.6 def	8.3 b	5.6 cd	6.1 cde	7.1 b	6.2 bc	12.6 bcd
G.969	2.8 bcd	4.3 cd	7.8 cde	4.9 cdef	6.6 cd	4.4 de	5.7 cdef	4.3 cd	4.0 de	9.7 de
M.9 NAKBT337	2.7 bcd	5.2 bcd	7.4 de	3.7 efg	6.6 cd	4.1 de	6.5 cd	4.2 cd	3.9 de	10.4 de

Mean separation within columns by Tukey's HSD ($P = 0.05$).

Table 4. Cumulative yield per tree (kg, 2016-18) of Modi trees in the 2015 NC-140 Organic Apple Rootstock Trial.

Rootstock	CA	CO	ID	MA	NM	NS	NYG	NYI	VT	WI
G.11	0.9 ab	1.1 abc	3.7 a	0.7 ab	5.9 cde	2.6 b	6.5 bc	6.1 abc	4.3 bcd	21.1 bc
G.16	---	0.0 c	0.8 bc	0.1 b	3.5 de	---	2.7 d	2.0 c	1.3 d	8.4 e
G.30	1.2 ab	1.6 ab	2.3 abc	1.1 ab	8.2 bc	3.6 ab	7.5 abc	5.1 abc	7.3 ab	20.3 c
G.41	1.3 ab	0.5 bc	2.1 abc	1.3 ab	6.0 cd	4.7 ab	8.6 ab	7.5 ab	7.9 a	30.3 a
G.202	1.3 ab	1.3 abc	3.5 a	1.2 ab	4.4 de	3.3 ab	5.6 cd	5.5 abc	4.3 bcd	18.3 cd
G.214	1.3 ab	0.6 bc	1.1 bc	0.9 ab	6.2 bcd	2.5 b	5.2 cd	4.8 bc	6.6 ab	16.7 cd
G.222	0.2 b	0.0 c	0.3 c	0.8 ab	2.8 e	1.6 b	2.9 d	2.1 c	2.6 cd	11.7 de
G.890	0.9 ab	1.6 ab	2.9 a	1.0 ab	13.1 a	6.2 a	9.3 a	8.0 ab	7.1 ab	28.8 a
G.935	2.0 a	1.4 abc	3.0 a	1.5 ab	8.7 b	4.8 ab	6.5 bc	8.8 a	9.1 a	26.3 ab
G.969	1.2 ab	2.1 a	2.6 ab	1.7 a	6.2 bcd	3.3 ab	6.1 c	6.5 abc	4.7 bc	19.2 c
M.9 NAKBT337	0.5 b	1.6 ab	2.2 abc	0.5 ab	5.6 cde	3.2 ab	5.2 cd	5.1 abc	3.5 cd	18.1 cd

Mean separation within columns by Tukey's HSD ($P = 0.05$).

Table 5. Cumulative yield efficiency (kg/cm², 2016-18) of Modi trees in the 2015 NC-140 Organic Apple Rootstock Trial.

Rootstock	CA	CO	ID	MA	NM	NS	NYG	NYI	VT	WI
G.11	0.31 ab	0.20 bc	0.48 a	0.15 ab	0.96 abcd	0.58 a	1.07 ab	1.21 ab	0.94 abc	2.14 a
G.16	---	0.05 bc	0.28 ab	0.04 b	0.87 abcde	---	0.97 abc	0.50 b	0.72 bc	0.99 c
G.30	0.38 ab	0.31 ab	0.23 b	0.23 ab	0.94 abcd	0.67 a	0.85 abc	0.97 ab	1.33 ab	1.83 a
G.41	0.32 ab	0.09 bc	0.20 b	0.20 ab	0.74 cde	0.88 a	1.18 a	1.06 ab	1.20 ab	2.16 a
G.202	0.29 ab	0.21 bc	0.35 ab	0.18 ab	0.51 e	0.50 a	0.61 c	0.77 b	0.63 c	1.20 c
G.214	0.42 ab	0.17 bc	0.17 b	0.17 ab	1.21 a	0.67 a	1.05 ab	1.15 ab	1.38 a	1.98 a
G.222	0.06 b	0.00 c	0.15 b	0.25 ab	0.64 de	0.46 a	0.85 abc	0.56 b	0.87 abc	1.24 c
G.890	0.20 b	0.24 abc	0.26 b	0.10 b	1.03 abc	0.78 a	0.73 bc	0.73 b	0.74 bc	1.38 bc
G.935	0.59 a	0.25 abc	0.37 ab	0.32 a	1.05 ab	0.92 a	1.11 ab	1.26 ab	1.45 a	2.09 a
G.969	0.38 ab	0.47 a	0.33 ab	0.37 a	0.92 abcd	0.76 a	1.08 ab	1.54 a	1.24 ab	2.06 a
M.9 NAKBT337	0.18 b	0.28 abc	0.32 ab	0.15 ab	0.85 bcde	0.72 a	0.87 abc	1.24 ab	0.88 abc	1.79 ab

Mean separation within columns by Tukey's HSD ($P = 0.05$).

Table 6. Average fruit weight (g, 2016-18) of Modi trees in the 2015 NC-140 Organic Apple Rootstock Trial.

Rootstock	CA	CO	ID	MA	NM	NS	NYG	NYI	VT	WI
G.11	85 ab	146 a	172 a	144 a	73 de	140 a	136 ab	178 a	124 ab	141 ab
G.16	---	179 a	156 a	134 a	71 de	---	133 ab	144 a	141 a	147 ab
G.30	75 ab	141 a	169 a	131 a	84 abc	146 a	134 ab	173 a	136 ab	164 a
G.41	85 ab	154 a	162 a	118 a	82 abcd	148 a	147 a	161 a	138 a	151 ab
G.202	71 ab	128 a	165 a	133 a	66 e	137 a	128 b	153 a	116 b	142 ab
G.214	54 b	150 a	165 a	137 a	79 bcd	146 a	133 ab	185 a	139 a	131 b
G.222	155 a	154 a	140 a	125 a	78 bcde	135 a	127 b	166 a	129 ab	152 ab
G.890	81 ab	138 a	173 a	139 a	86 ab	142 a	134 ab	185 a	131 ab	130 b
G.935	74 ab	125 a	174 a	109 a	90 a	145 a	128 b	168 a	121 ab	132 b
G.969	100 ab	137 a	169 a	128 a	75 cde	138 a	132 ab	166 a	131 ab	144 ab
M.9 NAKBT337	62 ab	158 a	176 a	113 a	74 cde	141 a	143 ab	185 a	135 ab	155 ab

Mean separation within columns by Tukey's HSD ($P = 0.05$).